

# A CCUS Optimisation for a Greener Tomorrow Using a Proxy Model Driven Numerical Simulation

### Harrison Osei,\* Alexander Ofori Mensah, Phinehas Kwabena Lartey

University of Mines and Technology, Ghana

#### Abstract

As the urgency to combat climate change grows, the role of Carbon Capture, Utilisation, and Storage (CCUS) in mitigating greenhouse gas emissions becomes increasingly pivotal for a more sustainable oil/gas industry. However, the entrapment of  $CO_2$  in depleted reservoirs faces a significant challenge-caprock integrity failure leading to  $CO_2$  leakages into surrounding aquifers. Previous studies have predominantly focused on  $CO_2$  storage modelling, neglecting optimisation strategies to reduce leakage risks and how such optimisation may impact storage performance. Consequently, limited knowledge exists on controlling injection constraints to optimise storage in the presence of these risks. This study centres on coupling flow-geomechanic simulation with proxy modelling to optimise  $CO_2$  storage and minimise leakage. A comprehensive workflow was devised by integrating flow-geomechanic simulation into the sensitivity analysis, employing CMG GEM and CMOST packages. The Barton-Bandis model was utilised to simulate caprock integrity failure during injection. Unlike a modelling approach that is inadequate for injection optimisation under leakage risk, the coupled geomodelling and optimisation approach not only enables  $CO_2$  leakage modelling but also serves as a powerful tool for optimising storage efficiency while mitigating the risks of caprock integrity failure and  $CO_2$  leakage.

Keywords: CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration, Greenhouse gas, Trapping, Caprock

#### Introduction

Carbon Capture, Utilisation, and Storage (CCUS) plays a critical role in reducing greenhouse gas emissions and paving the way for a more sustainable oil business as the pressure to address climate change increases. One major obstacle to  $CO_2$  trapping, nevertheless, is  $CO_2$  leakage and if the risk of the leakage is great, a site may not be furthered considered for storage irrespective of the site's capacity.<sup>1</sup> Because of its enormous abundance in the atmosphere,  $CO_2$  is a primary greenhouse gas that contributes significantly to global climate change, necessitating storage.<sup>2</sup> Coal seams, saline aquifers, and depleted oil and gas reservoirs are a few examples of the reservoir types where  $CO_2$  sequestration may happen. Out of all the geological formations that are being examined for  $CO_2$  storage, deep saline aquifers have the highest storage potential.<sup>3</sup>  $CO_2$  is frequently injected as a super-critical fluid into the pore spaces present in the rock formations of these reservoirs in order

to minimize the quantity of pore space required. According to Kim<sup>4</sup> an impermeable caprock that acts as a physical barrier to prevent leakage and movement of the buoyant  $CO_2$  is necessary for the geological storage of  $CO_2$ .

Thick, uniform stratigraphic strata with very little permeability make up the ideal caprocks. Reviews of prospective caprocks that have been studied for  $CO_2$  storage, however, highlight the possibility that caprocks may show notable variability in their lithologic, structural, and fluid transport properties. This variability could have an impact on the  $CO_2$  storage unit's overall performance.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, fluid leakage channels over caprocks may be provided by faults and pre-existing fracture systems.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, after a highrate  $CO_2$  injection, the reservoir pressure rises, which frequently causes induced stresses greater than the sum of the least primary effective stress and tensile strength. These stresses might reactivate preexisting fractures or cause new ones to form.<sup>4</sup> These frequently



result in  $CO_2$  leaks. It has been discovered that the primary risk taken into account for the  $CO_2$  sequestration process is the free  $CO_2$  gas leaking to the surface through fissures that are either naturally occurring or artificially created. As the caprock's fissures and faults break up, injected  $CO_2$  seeps out and spreads throughout. In order to assess the stability of  $CO_2$  storage, it is crucial to keep an eye on the geomechanical changes of the storage formation.

Extensive estimate of formation features should be carried out to guarantee the viability of a proposed storage location. Numerical simulation is important in these kinds of investigations, according to Hurter<sup>6</sup> As a result, a number of numerical simulation studies using coupled geomechanical studies have been carried out to evaluate the stability of  $CO_2$  injection. Using a coupled reservoirgeochemical reservoir simulation, Rutqvist<sup>7</sup> assessed the effect of shear and tensile stress failure on fracture reactivation and proposed that long-term observation would be required to observe the geomechanical changes brought about by the injected fluid pressure. Using a two-dimensional simulation model, Park<sup>8</sup> expanded on the research by assessing the impact of heterogeneity in geomechanical properties during  $CO_2$  storage as well as the influence of geomechanical characteristics on fracture reactivation.

Notwithstanding these developments, further research is still needed to determine the ideal candidate locations for  $CO_2$  storage that will prevent leaking into the environment. A more environmentally friendly future depends on our ability to comprehend how geological formation characteristics and operating factors affect long-term  $CO_2$  storage. To guarantee a safe and effective storage, it is crucial to accurately monitor the induced stresses, permeability fluctuation, poison ratio, caprock cohesiveness, and variations in the elastic modulus of the caprock. To the best of our knowledge, no research has evaluated how these regulating factors affect  $CO_2$  storage while modeling caprock integrity failure using the Barton-Bandis model.

This study assessed the viability of  $CO_2$  storage in deep saline aquifers under a range of operational circumstances using numerical modelling. Utilising artificial intelligence (AI) and proxy modelling approaches, a thorough sensitivity analysis was carried out to examine the controlling impacts of reservoir characteristics and rock parameters in a coupled geomechanical reservoir flow system. The sensitivity analysis was performed using numerical simulations as input. By using this process, the model parameters were prioritized according to how much they contributed to caprock integrity, and the most sensitive parameters and potential interactions were found. This work contributes to the knowledge of  $CO_2$  leakage that has been reported due to caprock collapse. The broad ideas and connections created in this study can be utilised to keep an eye on CCUS operations in the future.

# **Methods Used**

#### **Reservoir model**

In order to evaluate the performance of  $CO_2$  sequestration and investigate the sensitivity of different parameters, a numerical simulation model (CMG-GEM) was used to create a 3D Cartesian aquifer model. The model parameters are shown in Table 1. The model was created with 3,333 grid blocks. A base case simulation incorporating structural trapping was run. Followed by a residual trapping case, and then a solubility trapping case. These were run to ascertain the amount of  $CO_2$  trapped by all these three mechanisms. The final analysis involved modelling of the barton-bandis fracture model to investigate the impact of stress on the caprock. The model included one injection well located at the middle of the aquifer for the caprock studies and at the left end for the  $CO_2$  trapping studies.  $CO_2$  injection was conducted at a constant bottom-hole flowing pressure.

CMG-Winprop was used to obtain the aquifer fluid properties. Peng-RobinsonEquation ofState was used to estimate the supercritical  $CO_2$  (scCO<sub>2</sub>) properties. Henry's law was also used to model the  $CO_2$  solubility in brine. Injection of supercritical  $CO_2$  was carried out for one year. Then, the injector well was shut-in and simulation continued for 200 years to monitor the sequestration process of  $CO_2$ .

Table 1: Aquifer properties used to create	the model
--	-----------

Parameter	Value
Rock Compressibility, 1/kPa	5.8 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>
Thickness, m	5
Grid dimension	100 x 1 x 20
Initial reservoir pressure, kPa	11,800
Average permeability, mD	100
Average porosity	0.18
Trapping Simulation run time, years	200
Caprock Integrity Simulation run time, years	10

# **Results and Discussion**

# Base case simulation

In the base case,  $CO_2$  was injected into the reservoir model at controlled bottom-hole flowing pressure of 44,500 kPa. However, the  $CO_2$  injection rate for one year was kept constant at 10,000 m<sup>3</sup>/ day afterwards it was shut in for the rest of the 200 year simulation runtime. The average horizontal and vertical permeabilities were set at 100 mD. The reference pressure and water depth were equal to 11800 kPa and 1150 m, respectively. Figure 1 shows the grid structure for the simulation studies indicating the grid tops with the perforations at the last three layers in the grid.

To confirm the necessity of trapping in the reservoir model, the base case simulation was run without any caprock, but only structural trap was instituted. Then another case of residual trapping and solubility trapping mechanisms were simulated. From Figure 2a, it can be seen that the injected gas moves in the reservoir and the forms a free gas cap at the end of the 200 year simulation. This is due to the fact that there is no trapping mechanism modelled in this case. Hence the need to have an impermeable structure serving as a caprock when deciding on the best site for CCUS. Even with the residual trapping and solubility trapping presented in Figures 2b and 2c, there were some volumes of  $CO_2$  making it to the surface after injecting for 1 year. This goes to show that without a caprock  $CO_2$  would find its way to the surface once injected irrespective of the inherent trapping mechanisms.

Despite the individual trapping mechanisms not being able to completely stop the migration of  $CO_{2'}$  they did contribute to the trapping. Figure 3 and Table 2 show the different  $CO_2$  volumes trapped at the end of the 200 year simulation run time.

From Figure 3 it can be seen that the best trapping mechanism was the residual trapping due to hysteresis followed by the solubility trapping. The residual trapping performed better because it relies on the effect of shifting from drainage to imbibition relative permeability curves to trap the non-wetting phase, which is the  $\rm CO_2$  gas in this case. The solubility trapping mechanism however, relies on the compositional changes of  $\rm CO_2$  into aqueous phase at a specific pressure and temperature to trap it. Hence, the  $\rm CO_2$  would have to be in the super-critical state to affect the trapping. This would take a long time and would be heavily dependent on pressure, temperature, and contact with fresh aqueous phase. These limiting conditions could have led to the reduced amount of  $\rm CO_2$  trapped by the solubility trapping mechanism as compared to the residual trap.



Base Case Gas Saturation 2200-Jan-01
CO2_INJECTOR
0.7*-
-0.70
- 0.40
- 0.50
-0.30
-0.10
3.1976-07-
(a) Residual Irap
Gas Saturation 2200-Jan-01
CO2_INJECTOR
-0.70
-0.20
0.10
(b)
Solubility
CO2_INJECTOR
0.798
-0.00
0000
(c)

**Figure 2:** CO<sub>2</sub> gas saturation a: Base case-structural trap b: Residual trap c: Solubility trap



Trapping Mechanism	Cumulative CO <sub>2</sub> Injected, Million moles	CO <sub>2</sub> Trapped supercritical Phase, Million Moles	Percentage Trapped
Base Case-Structural Trapping	125.13	24.83	19.84
Residual Trapping	125.13	98.49	78.71
Solubility Trapping	125.13	76.31	60.98

#### Table 2: Summary of CO<sub>2</sub> trapping results

# Caprock failure studies

The injection of supercritical  $CO_2$  in the saline aquifer reservoir model can often lead to caprock failure. In this section, an analysis of this has been performed. Figure 4 shows the  $CO_2$  trapped against injected after modelling the caprock. To analyse the injection,  $CO_2$  was injected for the first five years of the run time and the injector shut-in for the next five years. Figure 5 shows the slump in cumulative injection after the 5-year injection period (1800 days). After shutting in the well it can be seen that the amount of  $CO_2$ trapped suddenly began to increase until the end of the simulation period of 10 years. For this scenario, a cumulative  $CO_2$  injection of 15,518.3 million moles in five years, and a 4,751.5 million moles of  $CO_2$  trapped after five more years of well shut-in. This represented a 30.6% of the injected  $CO_2$  trapped in the 10-year simulation. This can be seen in Figure 4 where the entrapment started after shutting in the injector well in the fifth year.

Figure 5 shows the leakage observed as a result of the caprock failure. A dual-permeability grid system was built with a fracture permeability as small as  $1 \times 10-8$  mD in order to effectively model a zero-flow boundary along the fractures. The Barton-Bandis

model was incorporated to use the tensile failure generated due to injection to increase the fracture permeability and allow leakage.

From Figure 6 it can be observed that the effective stress along the fracture decreases above the injector due to the increase in pore pressure from injection. When the normal stress drops below a set value (2000 kPa) in the fracture, the fractures open and the permeability of the fractures start to increase as seen by the red indications in Figure 6b. The continued injection leads to increased pore pressure causing more fracture opening. Thus, after the first indication of fracture opening in the second year of injection (Red Dot in Figure 6a, continuous injection for three more years led to the increment in the fracture permeability as depicted in Figure 6b.

The increment in fracture permeability is a main issue with caprock integrity. The induced fractures or reopening of fractures allows the injected  $CO_2$  gas to pass into the overburden layer. After a few years of injection, the gas therefore leads to the cracking of the top caprock, causing the escape of  $CO_2$  gas into the top overburden layer. The  $CO_2$  mole fraction due to the caprock failure and gas escape into the overburden is as presented in Figure 7.



Figure 6: Fracture effective stress analysis: (a) fracture permeability after 2 years; and (b) fracture permeability after 5 years

# Stephy Publishers | http://stephypublishers.com

### Volume 4 - Issue 2





#### Sensitivity analysis

The results obtained from the fracture model case was used as benchmark for the sensitivity analysis presented in this section. To do so, design of experiment (DOE) was used to select the sensitive parameters that were later investigated in the sensitivity analysis. The selected sensitive parameters were: the stress in the grid cells, cohesion, elastomer, and grid poison ratio.

Figure 8 shows the model QC plot for the proxy model. It shows how closely the proxy model predictions match actual values from the simulations. The 45-degree line represents a perfect match between the proxy model and the actual simulation results. The closer the points are to the 45-degree line, the better the match between the predicted and actual data. Since majority of the points fall on the 45-degree line it indicates that the simulation model was perfectly predicted. Despite this, there were some outliers, indicated by points that were a little farther from the 45-degree line. The statistics of the Radial Basis Function Neural Network Model can be seen in Table 3. A training R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.999 and a testing value of 0.792 indicates a strong relationship between the proxy model built and the actual simulation. Hence, the results obtained from the sensitivity analysis can be relied upon.



Figure 8: ANN Model QC

Table 3: RBF neural network QC

Model	R <sup>2</sup> Training	R <sup>2</sup> Verification
RBF	0.999	0.792

Figures 9 and 10 show the impact of the sensitive parameters investigated on the amount of  $CO_2$  gas saturation that leaks. It can be seen from Figure 9 that the most impactful parameter is the effective stress followed by the grid permeability in the fracture with 50% and 16%, respectively. These are indicative of the importance of moderating these parameters to avoid caprock failure. One means by which this can be achieved is to make sure the injection pressure is kept below the reservoir fracture pressure. This would allow the safe capture of  $CO_2$  for ages without the risk of leakage. As seen in Figure 10, maintaining an eye on these parameters has the ability to reduce the amount of  $CO_2$  leakage and thereby increasing the chances of a sustainable oil and gas future.





Figure 9: CO, Gas saturation sensitive parameters

#### Conclusion

This study has shown through numerical simulation how a CCUS operation can be effectively optimised for a greener tomorrow. The work looked at the various trapping mechanisms, modelled the fracture failure, and run sensitivity analysis using RBF controlled proxy model. The following are some key conclusions from this study:

- a. The presence of a Caprock is integral to preventing the migration of captured  $CO_2$ .
- b. Incorporating a structural trapping mechanism alone resulted in 19.84% of  $CO_2$  entrapment. However, residual trapping contributed to 78.71% and solubility trapping leading to 60.98% entrapment.

- c. Despite the increment in trapping offered by the three main trapping mechanisms, having a caprock significantly reduced the  $CO_2$  leakage.
- d.  $CO_2$  leakage through caprock is mostly influenced by the fracture effective stress. Hence, the need to always monitor injection pressure since it can increase the stress.

# Acknowledgments

None.

### Funding

This Research Article received no external funding.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Regarding the publication of this article, the authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

 Lucier A, Zoback M, Gupta N, et al. Geomechanical Aspects of CO2 Sequestration in a Deep Saline Reservoir in the Ohio River Valley Region. Environmental Geosciences. 2006;13(2):85-103.

- Foroozesh J, Dier MA, Rezk MG. A Simulation Study on CO2 Sequestration in Saline Aquifers: Trapping Mechanisms and Risk of CO2 Leakage. In: MATEC Web of Conferences, EDP Sciences; 2018;225:03004.
- Fathi E, Arcentales D, Belyadi F. Impacts of Different Operation Conditions and Geological Formation Characteristics on CO2 Sequestration in Citronelle Dome, Alabama. Energies. 2023;16(7):3191.
- 4. Kim GW, Kim HT, Lee J, et al. Coupled Geomechanical-Flow Assessment of CO2 Leakage through Heterogeneous Caprock during CCS. Advances in Civil Eng. 2018:pp.1-13.
- 5. Newell P, Martinez MJ, and Eichhubl P. Impact of Layer Thickness and Well Orientation on Caprock Integrity for Geologic Carbon Storage. J of Petro Sci and Eng. 2017;155:100-108.
- 6. Hurter S, Labregere D, Berge J. Simulation for CO2 Injection Projects with Compositional Simulator. In: SPE Offshore Europe Conf. and Exhib. 2007:SPE-108540.
- 7. Rutqvist J, Birkholzer JT, Tsang CF. Coupled Reservoir–Geomechanical Analysis of the Potential for Tensile and Shear Failure Associated with CO2 Injection in Multilayered Reservoir–Caprock Systems. Int J of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences. 2008;45(2):132-143.
- Park SS, Park J, Cho J, et al. Numerical Modeling of the Tensile Fracture Reactivation under the Effects of Rock Geomechanical Properties and Heterogeneity during CO2 Storage. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2016;75(4):1-17.